

ECONOMICS 497: EXPERIMENTAL ECONOMICS

Spring 2026

This version: February 18, 2026. Click [here](#) for newest version.

Instructor:	Felipe A. Araujo	Time:	Mo & We 3pm–4:15pm
Email:	f.araujo@lehigh.edu	Place:	Williams Hall 070

Course page: The official course page is on [Course Site](#), where I will post assignments, lecture materials, and any relevant communication.

Office Hours and Location: Mo & We 1:00-2:30 PM, or by appointment, at RBC 266.

Course Overview and Requirements: This PhD course aims to offer a comprehensive introduction to the methods and main findings of the experimental economics literature. It covers both classical and recent experimental findings and explores how to gather and analyze data using experimental methods. Students can expect to become advanced consumers of this literature and to begin exploring it for their own research. Students will also help design and conduct an original experiment during the semester.

There is no official text, but students are encouraged to consult the following books:

- [List \(2025\)](#): *Experimental Economics: Theory and Practice*
- [Moffatt et al. \(2009\)](#): *Experimental economics: Rethinking the rules*
- [Roth and Kagel \(1995\)](#): *The handbook of experimental economics, volume 1*
- [Kagel and Roth \(2016\)](#): *The handbook of experimental economics, volume 2*

Grading Policy: Grades will be based on your performance on classroom participation, paper presentations, the class experiment, and a research proposal. Below are the weights used to compute the weighted average score, based on which a letter grade will be determined.

Grading components, dates, and weights

Grade Component	Date	Weight
Class participation	-	10%
Paper presentations	03/02 & 03/04	20%
Class experiment	-	35%
Research proposal	04/27 & 04/29	35%

Numerical and letter grades

Score	Letter Grade
[92, 100]	A
[90, 92)	A-
[87, 90)	B+
[82, 87)	B
[80, 82)	B-
[77, 80)	C+
[72, 77)	C
[70, 72)	C-
[67, 70)	D+
[62, 67)	D
[60, 62)	D-
[0, 60)	F

Paper presentation: Students will select a paper on a topic of their interest and give a ≈ 20 minute in-class presentation. The papers have to be either recently published (last 5 years or so), or a working paper, in experimental economics. You cannot select any study under the *required reading* tag for the course.

Final Proposal: Students will write a research proposal in a topic of their interest. The only requirement is that it adopts an experimental methodology. Students will present their idea in class and also turn in a written proposal. The proposal should follow the guidelines for the [Dissertation Grants](#) from the Russel Sage Foundation.

Class Experiment: We will design and run an experimental project during the semester. Students will participate in all aspects of the research, including the experimental design, coding of the experiment, writing instructions, obtaining IRB approval, testing and running the sessions, and writing the first draft. The experimental sessions are tentatively scheduled for the weeks of April 6th and 13th.

Year of Learning The College of Business' year of leaning theme for 2025-2026 is "AI at Work: New Roles and Smarter Systems". Even though we don't have a specific section devoted to it, I will add relevant discussions throughout the semester. Student suggestions are also welcome.



Accommodations for Students with Disabilities: Lehigh University is committed to maintaining an equitable and inclusive community and welcomes students with disabilities into all of the University's educational programs. In order to receive consideration for reasonable accommodations, a student with a disability must contact Disability Support Services (DSS), provide documentation, and participate in an interactive review process. If the documentation supports a request for reasonable accommodations, DSS will provide students with a Letter of Accommodations. Students who are approved for accommodations at Lehigh should share this letter and discuss their accommodations and learning needs with instructors as early

in the semester as possible. For more information or to request services, please contact Disability Support Services in person in Williams Hall, Suite 301, via phone at 610-758-4152, via email at indss@lehigh.edu, or online.

Lehigh Student Senate Academic Integrity Statement: “We, the Lehigh University Student Senate, as the standing representative body of all undergraduates, reaffirm the duty and obligation of the students to meet and uphold the highest principles and values of personal, moral and ethical conduct. As partners in our educational community, both students and faculty share the responsibility for promoting the helping to ensure an environment of academic integrity. As such, each student is expected to complete all academic course work in accordance to the standards set forth by the faculty and in compliance with the university’s Code of Conduct.”

The Principles of Our Equitable Community: Lehigh University endorses [The Principles of Our Equitable Community](#). We expect each member of this class to acknowledge and practice these Principles. Respect for each other and for differing viewpoints is a vital component of the learning environment inside and outside the classroom.

Course Outline and Schedule: The table below details the complete class and assignment schedules as well as the topics covered and the relevant textbook sections.

Lecture Topics and Readings

Topics	Readings
Topic 1	Class Outline and Introduction
	<u>Required reading:</u> —
01/19	<u>Suggested reading:</u> —
	<u>Required reading:</u> Roth (1993)
01/21	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Roth (1988); Chamberlin (1948); Thurstone (1931); Rousseas and Hart (1951); Mosteller and Nogee (1951); Flood (1958); Schelling (1957)
Topic 2	The Experimental Method in Economics
	<u>Required reading:</u> Falk and Heckman (2009); Levitt and List (2007)
01/26	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Roth (2020); Horton, Rand and Zeckhauser (2011); Westwood (2025); Kay (2025)
	<u>Required reading:</u> Harrison and List (2004)
01/28	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Deaton and Cartwright (2018)
Topic 3	The Statistics and Econometrics of Experiments
	<u>Required reading:</u> List (2025) - Chapter 3
02/02	<u>Suggested reading:</u> List, Shaikh and Xu (2019); Bloom (1995); Bruhn and McKenzie (2009)
	<u>Required reading:</u> List, Sadoff and Wagner (2011)
02/04	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Deaton and Cartwright (2018)
Topic 4	Risk Preferences and Risk Elicitation
	<u>Required reading:</u> Holt and Laury (2002)
02/09	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Grether and Plott (1979); Karni and Safra (1987); Starmer and Sugden (1991); Lichtenstein and Slovic (1971)
	<u>Required reading:</u> Oprea (2024)
02/11	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Azrieli, Chambers and Healy (2020, 2018); Brown and Healy (2018); Enke and Shubatt (2023)

Topic 5	Intertemporal Choice
	<u>Required reading:</u> Andreoni and Sprenger (2012)
02/16	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Samuelson (1937); Laibson (1997); Frederick, Loewenstein and O'donoghue (2002); Collier and Williams (1999); Andersen, Harrison, Lau and Rutström (2008)
	<u>Required reading:</u> Augenblick, Niederle and Sprenger (2015)
02/18	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Benhabib, Bisin and Schotter (2010); Cohen, Ericson, Laibson and White (2020)
Topic 6	Market Experiments
	<u>Required reading:</u> Smith (1962)
02/23	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Chamberlin (1948); Smith (1982); Plott (1982); Plott and Sunder (1982),
	<u>Required reading:</u> Lei, Noussair and Plott (2001)
02/25	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Smith, Suchanek and Williams (1988); Noussair, Robin and Ruffieux (2001); Dufwenberg, Lindqvist and Moore (2005)
Topic 7	Public Goods
	<u>Required reading:</u> Isaac, McCue and Plott (1985); Kim and Walker (1984)
03/02	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Ledyard (1995)
	<u>Required reading:</u> Fehr and Gächter (2000); Fischbacher and Gächter (2010)
03/04	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Chaudhuri (2011)
Topic δ	Spring Break
	<u>Required reading:</u> None
03/09	<u>Suggested reading:</u> A good spy novel
	<u>Required reading:</u> None
03/11	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Another spy novel
Topic 8	Social Preferences
	<u>Required reading:</u> Charness and Rabin (2002)
03/16	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Güth, Schmittberger and Schwarze (1982); Kahneman, Knetsch and Thaler (1986); Forsythe, Horowitz, Savin and Sefton (1994); Fehr and Schmidt (1999)
	<u>Required reading:</u> Dana, Weber and Kuang (2007)
03/18	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Dana, Cain and Dawes (2006); Exley (2016); Grossman (2014)
Topic Γ	Student Presentations
03/23	<u>Three students:</u> TBD
03/25	<u>Four students:</u> TBD

Topic 9	Gender Differences
	<u>Required reading:</u> Niederle and Vesterlund (2007)
03/30	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Eckel and Grossman (2002, 2008) ; Gneezy, Niederle and Rustichini (2003)
	<u>Required reading:</u> Exley and Kessler (2019)
04/01	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Babcock, Recalde, Vesterlund and Weingart (2017) ; Coffman (2014)
Topic 10	Discrimination
	<u>Required reading:</u> Bertrand and Mullainathan (2004)
04/06	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Neumark (2012) ; Pager (2003) ; Kroft, Lange and Notowidigdo (2013)
	<u>Required reading:</u> List (2004)
04/08	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Bohren, Imas and Rosenberg (2019) ; Ayres and Siegelman (1995)
Topic 11	Cognitive Economics
	<u>Required reading:</u> Enke and Zimmermann (2019)
04/13	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Enke (2024) ; Grether (1980) ; Eyster and Rabin (2005)
	<u>Required reading:</u> Oprea (2024)
04/15	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Banki, Simonsohn, Walatka and Wu (2025) ; Oprea (2025) ; Frydman and Jin (2022)
Topic 12	Other Topics
	<u>Required reading:</u> Leibbrandt, Gneezy and List (2013)
04/20	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Gneezy, Leonard and List (2009) ; Cassar, d'Adda and Grosjean (2014)
	<u>Required reading:</u> Bursztyn, Ederer, Ferman and Yuchtman (2014)
04/22	<u>Suggested reading:</u> Bursztyn and Jensen (2017) ; Kuhnen and Tymula (2012) ; Banerjee, Chandrasekhar, Duflo and Jackson (2013)
Topic 13	Final Project Presentations
04/27	<u>Three students:</u> TBD
04/29	<u>Three students:</u> TBD

References

- Andersen, Steffen, Glenn W. Harrison, Morten I. Lau, and E. Elisabet Rutström, “Eliciting Risk and Time Preferences,” *Econometrica*, 2008, 76 (3), 583–618.
- Andreoni, James and Charles Sprenger, “Estimating Time Preferences from Convex Budgets,” *American Economic Review*, 2012, 102 (7), 3333–3356.
- Augenblick, Ned, Muriel Niederle, and Charles Sprenger, “Working over Time: Dynamic Inconsistency in Real Effort Tasks,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2015, 130 (3), 1067–1115.
- Ayres, Ian and Peter Siegelman, “Race and Gender Discrimination in Bargaining for a New Car,” *American Economic Review*, 1995, 85 (3), 304–321.
- Azrieli, Yaron, Christopher P. Chambers, and Paul J. Healy, “Incentives in Experiments: A Theoretical Analysis,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 2018, 126, 1472–1503.
- , –, and –, “Incentives in Experiments with Objective Lotteries,” *Experimental Economics*, 2020, 23 (1), 1–29.
- Babcock, Linda, Maria P Recalde, Lise Vesterlund, and Laurie Weingart, “Gender differences in accepting and receiving requests for tasks with low promotability,” *American Economic Review*, 2017, 107 (3), 714–747.
- Banerjee, Abhijit, Arun G. Chandrasekhar, Esther Duflo, and Matthew O. Jackson, “The Diffusion of Microfinance,” *Science*, 2013, 341 (6144), 1236498.
- Banki, Daniel, Uri Simonsohn, Robert Walatka, and George Wu, “Decisions under risk are decisions under complexity: Comment,” *Available at SSRN 5127515*, 2025.
- Benhabib, Jess, Alberto Bisin, and Andrew Schotter, “Present-bias, quasi-hyperbolic discounting, and fixed costs,” *Games and economic behavior*, 2010, 69 (2), 205–223.
- Bertrand, Marianne and Sendhil Mullainathan, “Are Emily and Greg More Employable than Lakisha and Jamal? A Field Experiment on Labor Market Discrimination,” *American Economic Review*, 2004, 94 (4), 991–1013.
- Bloom, Howard S, “Minimum detectable effects: A simple way to report the statistical power of experimental designs,” *Evaluation review*, 1995, 19 (5), 547–556.
- Bohren, J Aislinn, Alex Imas, and Michael Rosenberg, “The dynamics of discrimination: Theory and evidence,” *American economic review*, 2019, 109 (10), 3395–3436.
- Brown, Alexander L. and Paul J. Healy, “Separated Decisions,” *European Economic Review*, 2018, 101, 20–34.
- Bruhn, Miriam and David McKenzie, “In Pursuit of Balance: Randomization in Practice in Development Field Experiments,” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2009, 1 (4), 200–232.
- Bursztyn, Leonardo and Robert Jensen, “Social image and economic behavior in the field: Identifying, understanding, and shaping social pressure,” *Annual Review of Economics*, 2017, 9 (1), 131–153.
- , Florian Ederer, Bruno Ferman, and Noam Yuchtman, “Understanding mechanisms underlying peer effects: Evidence from a field experiment on financial decisions,” *Econometrica*, 2014, 82 (4), 1273–1301.
- Cassar, Alessandra, Giovanna d’Adda, and Pauline Grosjean, “Institutional quality, culture, and norms of cooperation: Evidence from behavioral field experiments,” *The Journal of Law and Economics*, 2014, 57 (3), 821–863.

- Chamberlin, Edward H.**, “An Experimental Imperfect Market,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 1948, 56, 95–108.
- Charness, Gary and Matthew Rabin**, “Understanding Social Preferences with Simple Tests,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2002, 117 (3), 817–869.
- Chaudhuri, Ananish**, “Sustaining cooperation in laboratory public goods experiments: a selective survey of the literature,” *Experimental Economics*, 2011, 14 (1), 47–83.
- Coffman, Katherine B.**, “Evidence on Self-Stereotyping and the Contribution of Ideas,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2014, 129 (4), 1625–1660.
- Cohen, Jonathan, Keith Marzilli Ericson, David Laibson, and John Myles White**, “Measuring time preferences,” *Journal of Economic Literature*, 2020, 58 (2), 299–347.
- Coller, Maribeth and Melonie B. Williams**, “Eliciting Individual Discount Rates,” *Experimental Economics*, 1999, 2 (2), 107–127.
- Dana, Jason, Daylian M. Cain, and Robyn M. Dawes**, “What You Don’t Know Won’t Hurt Me: Costly (but Quiet) Exit in Dictator Games,” *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 2006, 100 (2), 193–201.
- , **Roberto A Weber, and Jason Xi Kuang**, “Exploiting moral wiggle room: experiments demonstrating an illusory preference for fairness,” *Economic Theory*, 2007, 33 (1), 67–80.
- Deaton, Angus and Nancy Cartwright**, “Understanding and Misunderstanding Randomized Controlled Trials,” *Social Science & Medicine*, 2018, 210, 2–21.
- Dufwenberg, Martin, Tobias Lindqvist, and Evan Moore**, “Bubbles and experience: An experiment,” *American economic review*, 2005, 95 (5), 1731–1737.
- Eckel, Catherine C. and Philip J. Grossman**, “Sex Differences and Statistical Stereotyping in Attitudes toward Financial Risk,” *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 2002, 23 (4), 281–295.
- and —, “Men, Women and Risk Aversion: Experimental Evidence,” in Charles R. Plott and Vernon L. Smith, eds., *Handbook of Experimental Economics Results*, Elsevier, 2008.
- Enke, Benjamin**, “The cognitive turn in behavioral economics,” *Unpublished manuscript*. <https://benjamin-enke.com>, 2024.
- and **Cassidy Shubatt**, “Quantifying lottery choice complexity,” Technical Report, National Bureau of Economic Research 2023.
- and **Florian Zimmermann**, “Correlation Neglect in Belief Formation,” *Review of Economic Studies*, 2019, 86 (1), 313–332.
- Exley, Christine L.**, “Excusing selfishness in charitable giving: The role of risk,” *The Review of Economic Studies*, 2016, 83 (2), 587–628.
- Exley, Christine L. and Judd B. Kessler**, “Gender Differences in Self-Promotion,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2019, 134 (2), 1185–1236.
- Eyster, Erik and Matthew Rabin**, “Cursed equilibrium,” *Econometrica*, 2005, 73 (5), 1623–1672.
- Falk, Armin and James J. Heckman**, “Lab Experiments Are a Major Source of Knowledge in the Social Sciences,” *Science*, 2009, 326, 535–538.
- Fehr, Ernst and Klaus M. Schmidt**, “A Theory of Fairness, Competition, and Cooperation,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 1999, 114 (3), 817–868.

- **and Simon Gächter**, “Cooperation and punishment in public goods experiments,” *American Economic Review*, 2000, *90* (4), 980–994.
- Fischbacher, Urs and Simon Gächter**, “Social preferences, beliefs, and the dynamics of free riding in public goods experiments,” *American economic review*, 2010, *100* (1), 541–556.
- Flood, Melvin M.**, “Some Experimental Games,” *Management Science*, 1958, *5*, 5–26.
- Forsythe, Robert, Joel L Horowitz, Nathan E Savin, and Martin Sefton**, “Fairness in simple bargaining experiments,” *Games and Economic behavior*, 1994, *6* (3), 347–369.
- Frederick, Shane, George Loewenstein, and Ted O’donoghue**, “Time discounting and time preference: A critical review,” *Journal of economic literature*, 2002, *40* (2), 351–401.
- Frydman, Cary and Lawrence J Jin**, “Efficient coding and risky choice,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2022, *137* (1), 161–213.
- Gneezy, Uri, Kenneth L. Leonard, and John A. List**, “Gender Differences in Competition: Evidence from a Matrilineal and a Patriarchal Society,” *Econometrica*, 2009, *77* (5), 1637–1664.
- **, Muriel Niederle, and Aldo Rustichini**, “Performance in Competitive Environments: Gender Differences,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2003, *118* (3), 1049–1074.
- Grether, David M.**, “Bayes Rule as a Descriptive Model: The Representativeness Heuristic,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 1980, *95* (3), 537–557.
- **and Charles R. Plott**, “Economic Theory of Choice and the Preference Reversal Phenomenon,” *American Economic Review*, 1979, *69*, 623–638.
- Grossman, Zachary**, “Strategic Ignorance and the Robustness of Social Preferences,” *Management Science*, 2014, *60* (11), 2659–2665.
- Güth, Werner, Rolf Schmittberger, and Bernd Schwarze**, “An Experimental Analysis of Ultimatum Bargaining,” *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 1982, *3* (4), 367–388.
- Harrison, Glenn W. and John A. List**, “Field Experiments,” *Journal of Economic Literature*, 2004, *42* (4), 1009–1055.
- Holt, Charles A. and Susan K. Laury**, “Risk Aversion and Incentive Effects,” *American Economic Review*, 2002, *92*, 1644–1655.
- Horton, John J, David G Rand, and Richard J Zeckhauser**, “The online laboratory: Conducting experiments in a real labor market,” *Experimental economics*, 2011, *14* (3), 399–425.
- Isaac, R. Mark, Kenneth F. McCue, and Charles R. Plott**, “Public Goods Provision in an Experimental Environment,” *Journal of Public Economics*, 1985, *26*, 51–74.
- Kagel, John H and Alvin E Roth**, *The handbook of experimental economics, volume 2*, Princeton university press, 2016.
- Kahneman, Daniel, Jack L. Knetsch, and Richard H. Thaler**, “Fairness as a Constraint on Profit Seeking: Entitlements in the Market,” *American Economic Review*, 1986, *76* (4), 728–741.
- Karni, Edi and Zvi Safra**, ““Preference Reversal” and the Observability of Preferences by Experimental Methods,” *Econometrica*, 1987, *55*, 675–685.
- Kay, Cameron S**, “Why you shouldn’t trust data collected on MTurk,” *Behavior Research Methods*, 2025, *57* (12), 340.

- Kim, Oliver and Mark Walker**, “The free rider problem: Experimental evidence,” *Public choice*, 1984, 43 (1), 3–24.
- Kroft, Kory, Fabian Lange, and Matthew J. Notowidigdo**, “Duration Dependence and Labor Market Conditions: Evidence from a Field Experiment,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2013, 128 (3), 1123–1167.
- Kuhnen, Camelia M and Agnieszka Tymula**, “Feedback, self-esteem, and performance in organizations,” *Management Science*, 2012, 58 (1), 94–113.
- Laibson, David**, “Golden eggs and hyperbolic discounting,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 1997, 112 (2), 443–478.
- Ledyard, John O.**, “Public Goods: A Survey of Experimental Research,” in John H. Kagel and Alvin E. Roth, eds., *The Handbook of Experimental Economics*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1995.
- Lei, Vivian, Charles N. Noussair, and Charles R. Plott**, “Nonspeculative Bubbles in Experimental Asset Markets: Lack of Common Knowledge of Rationality vs. Actual Irrationality,” *Econometrica*, 2001, 69 (4), 831–859.
- Leibbrandt, Andreas, Uri Gneezy, and John A List**, “Rise and fall of competitiveness in individualistic and collectivistic societies,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2013, 110 (23), 9305–9308.
- Levitt, Steven D and John A List**, “What do laboratory experiments measuring social preferences reveal about the real world?,” *Journal of Economic perspectives*, 2007, 21 (2), 153–174.
- Lichtenstein, Sarah and Paul Slovic**, “Reversals of preference between bids and choices in gambling decisions,” *Journal of experimental psychology*, 1971, 89 (1), 46.
- List, John A.**, “The Nature and Extent of Discrimination in the Marketplace: Evidence from the Field,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2004, 119 (1), 49–89.
- List, John A**, *Experimental economics: Theory and practice*, University of Chicago Press, 2025.
- , **Azeem M Shaikh, and Yang Xu**, “Multiple hypothesis testing in experimental economics,” *Experimental Economics*, 2019, 22 (4), 773–793.
- , **Sally Sadoff, and Mathis Wagner**, “So you want to run an experiment, now what? Some simple rules of thumb for optimal experimental design,” *Experimental Economics*, 2011, 14 (4), 439–457.
- Moffatt, Peter, Chris Starmer, Robert Sugden, Nicholas Bardsley, Robin Cubitt, and Graham Loomes**, *Experimental economics: Rethinking the rules*, Princeton University Press, 2009.
- Mosteller, Frederick and Philip Noguee**, “An Experimental Measurement of Utility,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 1951, 59, 371–404.
- Neumark, David**, “Detecting Discrimination in Audit and Correspondence Studies,” *Journal of Human Resources*, 2012, 47 (4), 1128–1157.
- Niederle, Muriel and Lise Vesterlund**, “Do Women Shy Away from Competition? Do Men Compete Too Much?,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2007, 122 (3), 1067–1101.
- Noussair, Charles N., Stephane Robin, and Bernard Ruffieux**, “Price Bubbles in Laboratory Asset Markets with Constant Fundamental Values,” *Experimental Economics*, 2001, 4 (1), 87–105.
- Oprea, Ryan**, “Decisions under risk are decisions under complexity,” *American Economic Review*, 2024, 114 (12), 3789–3811.

- , “Initial Reply to Banki, Simonsohn, Walatka and Wu (2025),” *Simonsohn, Walatka and Wu*, 2025.
- Pager, Devah**, “The Mark of a Criminal Record,” *American Journal of Sociology*, 2003, 108 (5), 937–975.
- Plott, Charles R.**, “Industrial Organization Theory and Experimental Economics,” *Journal of Economic Literature*, 1982, 20, 1485–1527.
- **and Shyam Sunder**, “Efficiency of Experimental Security Markets with Insider Information,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 1982, 90 (4), 663–698.
- Roth, Alvin E.**, “Laboratory experimentation in economics: A methodological overview,” *The Economic Journal*, 1988, 98 (393), 974–1031.
- , “The early history of experimental economics,” *Journal of the History of Economic Thought*, 1993, 15 (2), 184–209.
- Roth, Alvin E.**, “Introduction to Experimental Economics,” in “The Handbook of Experimental Economics,” Princeton University Press, 2020, pp. 1–110.
- Roth, Alvin E and John Henry Kagel**, *The handbook of experimental economics*, Vol. 1, Princeton university press Princeton, NJ, 1995.
- Rousseas, Stephen W. and Albert G. Hart**, “Experimental Verification of a Composite Indifference Map,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 1951, 59, 288–318.
- Samuelson, Paul A.**, “A note on measurement of utility,” *The review of economic studies*, 1937, 4 (2), 155–161.
- Schelling, Thomas C.**, “Bargaining, Communication, and Limited War,” *Conflict Resolution*, 1957, 1, 19–36.
- Smith, Vernon L.**, “An Experimental Study of Competitive Market Behavior,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 1962, 70, 111–137.
- , “Microeconomic Systems as an Experimental Science,” *American Economic Review*, 1982, 72, 923–955.
- Smith, Vernon L, Gerry L Suchanek, and Arlington W Williams**, “Bubbles, crashes, and endogenous expectations in experimental spot asset markets,” *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 1988, pp. 1119–1151.
- Starmer, Chris and Robert Sugden**, “Does the Random-Lottery Incentive System Elicit True Preferences? An Experimental Investigation,” *American Economic Review*, 1991, 81, 971–978.
- Thurstone, Louis L.**, “The Indifference Function,” *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 1931, 2, 139–167.
- Westwood, Sean J.**, “The potential existential threat of large language models to online survey research,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2025, 122 (47).